

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY, FLORIDA
GENERAL CIVIL DIVISION**

NEIL J. GILLESPIE,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO.: 05-CA-7205

vs.

BARKER, RODEMS & COOK, P.A.,
a Florida corporation; WILLIAM
J. COOK,

DIVISION: H

Defendants.

**NOTICE OF MR. RODEMS' WRITTEN CONSENT
TO RECORD TELEPHONE CONVERSATIONS WITH HIM**

1. Plaintiff received written consent from Ryan Christopher Rodems to record telephone conversations with him, by his letter dated October 5, 2006. (Exhibit A).
2. This is what Mr. Rodems wrote to Plaintiff: "In the past, you have requested to record telephone conversations with me, and I continue to have no objection to that procedure." (Exhibit A, paragraph 1, last sentence).
3. Plaintiff thanks Mr. Rodems for his ongoing consent to record telephone conversations with him.


RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 29th day of December, 2006.



Neil J. Gillespie, Plaintiff pro se
8092 SW 115th Loop
Ocala, Florida 34481
Telephone: (352) 502-8409

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing has been furnished by US mail, first class, to Ryan Christopher Rodems, Attorney, Barker, Rodems & Cook, P.A., 400 North Ashley Drive, Suite 2100, Tampa, Florida 33602, this 29th day of December, 2006.


Neil J. Gillespie

BARKER, RODEMS & COOK
PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

CHRIS A. BARKER
RYAN CHRISTOPHER RODEMS
WILLIAM J. COOK

400 North Ashley Drive, Suite 2100
Tampa, Florida 33602

Telephone 813/489-1001
Facsimile 813/489-1008

October 5, 2006

Mr. Neil J. Gillespie
8092 SW 115th Loop
Ocala, Florida 34481

**Re: Neil J. Gillespie v. Barker, Rodems & Cook, P.A.,
a Florida Corporation; and William J. Cook
Case No.: 05-CA-7205; Division "F"**

Dear Neil:

In response to your letter of October 5, 2006 rejecting our settlement offer, we acknowledge that Mr. Snyder no longer represents you. We have not placed a "block" of your telephone number from our "system" as you put it. I suggest you check with your service provider to see if you have a service problem. We have had no similar difficulties with anyone else trying to reach us by telephone. Perhaps, if your home telephone does not work, you could use a public pay telephone or obtain a prepaid telephone card. In the past, you have requested to record telephone conversations with me, and I continue to have no objection to that procedure.

As for your communication with Ms. Kaufman, we will advise the Court that Travelers agreed to cover your claim, but you instructed Travelers not to do so when you learned that we were negotiating a settlement of the counterclaims. We will also advise the Court that Ms. Kaufman refused your request that Travelers not settle the claim but nevertheless provide you with counsel.

As I mentioned to you in my recent letter, we are reviewing our discovery responses and will respond to you by letter issued on or before October 9, 2006. We will not horse-trade on discovery, so your request that we ask the Court to quash its July 24, 2006 Order is rejected out of hand.

Enclosed is a proposed Order regarding the October 4, 2006 hearing. I will transmit it to Judge Nielsen on October 12, 2006, unless you agree to it and advise me before that date. I trust you will not consider delaying the entry of the Order in hopes of evading yet another deadline in this case.

Sincerely,



Ryan Christopher Rodems

RCR/so
Enclosure

